SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
ANGELA RIVERA		NCO FINANCIA	AL SYSTEMS, INC.	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff			
, ,			f First Listed Defendant	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na Craig Thor Kimmel, E	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add Squire	NOTE: IN LANG	D CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE
Kimmel & Silverman,		Attorneys (If Known)		
30 E. Butler Pike		Attorneys (it known)		
Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888		8		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
D 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		incipal Place 🗍 4 🗇 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2	rincipal Place () 5 () 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties în Item III)		of Business In A	Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a D Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	SEFORKEITURE/PENALTY	SEESE SBANKRUPTOY SEESE	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic	- O 620 Other Food & Drug	① 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	[] 410 Antitrust
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability (7) 365 Personal Injury	 of Property 21 USC 881 	28 050 157	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment			PROPERTY RIGHTS	5 460 Deportation
151 Medicare Act	Slander Ø 368 Asbestos Person 330 Federal Employers* Injury Product	al O 640 R.R. & Truck O 650 Airline Regs.	CI 820 Copyrights CI 830 Patent	O 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	35 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	CTY Sufety/Health	İ	D 490 Cable/Sat TV D 810 Selective Service
D 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	IABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	C 710 Fair Labor Standards C Act	(7) 861 HIA (1395ff) (7) 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange
(1) 190 Other Contract	Product Liability		D 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	© 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product Liability logury		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
REALPROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO	& Disclosure Act NS# © 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SULTS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation	1 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacal	te 🛛 790 Other Labor Litigation	🗇 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	O 893 Environmental Matters
	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) O 871 IRS—Third Party	O 894 Energy Alfocation Act O 895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 3 530 General	occuriy no	26 USC 7609	Act
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Ott	MAINTING AND STATE OF THE PARTY		900Appeal of Fee Determination
C 230 All Outer (Cal Troperty	Employment	her		Under Equal Access to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes
•	·			
V. ODICIN				
STI Original 2 Re	un "X" in Ope Box Only) emoved from		erred from	- Magistrate
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	Y) -	Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTE	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct		
VII. REQUESTED IN			CHECK YES only i	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND:	Ø Ycs □ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER	
Explanation:		1/1		
1-70-11		$V \perp$		
DATE	SIGNATUREO	FATTORNEY OF RECORD		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.					
Address of Plaintiff: 6200 Wilson Blvd, Apt 418, F	all Church, VA 22044				
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudentral Road, Hors	nam PA 19044				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:					
(Use Reverse Side For Addi					
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and a					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.F. 7.1(a))	Yes No P				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	YcsD No.				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year parties.					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit	YesO Note				
action in this court?	perioting of within one year previously terminated				
	Yes No W				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier num					
terminated action in this court?	Yes No [7]				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights or	se filed by the same individual?				
	Yes□ No□				
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts				
2. D FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury				
3. © Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation				
4. © Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury				
5. © Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury				
	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please				
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	specify)				
7 C. Chill Diales	7. Products Liability				
7. Civil Rights	8. D Products Liability — Asbestos				
8. © Habeas Corpus	9. D All other Diversity Cases				
9. © Securities Act(s) Cases	•				
10. D Social Security Review Cases 11. DAll other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S. C. \$1692	(Please specify)				
11. 12 All other Federal Question Cases 100.3. C. 81092 (Please specify)					
ARBITRATION CERTIFI					
1. Crusa /her Kimme .counsel of record do hereby certify:	ory)				
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and bel	ief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Cl. Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
	5714A				
DATE: 1-20-11 Crary MV9 mme	57100				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there h	Attorney I.D.# as been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.				
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within cast is not related to any case now pending or wit	hin one year previously terminated action in this court				
except as noted above.	-1 ·				
DATE: 1-20-11 Crara They Domme!	57100				
DATE:	Attorney I.D.#				

CIV, 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Þ	Ingel L. Rivera	:	CIVIL ACTION		
1	Ingel L. Rivera V. VCO Financial S	systems, Inc	NO.		
	In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.				
	SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:		
	(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	()	
		requesting review of a decision o nying plaintiff Social Security Be		()	
	(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	uired to be designated for arbitrati	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()	
	(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury or	property damage from	()	
	commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into tracks (s complex and that need special or side of this form for a detailed exp	r intense management by	()	
		- Cases that do not fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	(V)	
	(,	Λ —		X	
	1-20-11 Date 215-540-8888	Cruig The Kimmel Attorney-at-law 877-788-2864	Angel L. Rivera Autorney for Kimmel Cored + 10	<u>)</u> w.(on	
	Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

٠9

10.

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANGEL L. RIVERA,)
Plaintiff)
ν.	Civil Action No.:
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., Defendant) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR) JURY TRIAL
	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

ANGEL L. RIVERA ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 4.

5.

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

25

23 24 Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Falls Church, Virginia.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute that prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.
- 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any

person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.

- 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was allegedly hired to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

- 17. Defendant first contacted Plaintiff by letter dated March 1, 2010.
- 18. In its letter, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of his rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.
- 19. Since this initial correspondence, Defendant has constantly and continuously called Plaintiff on his home and cellular telephones seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 20. Also, Defendant has repeatedly contacted Plaintiff at his place of employment, despite being told by Plaintiff that he is not permitted to receive these types of calls of his place of employment.
- 21. Defendant has contacted Plaintiff on his home and cellular telephones, on average, at least twice a day.
- 22. Furthermore, between March 1, 2010, and March 19, 2010, Defendant made more than twenty (20) telephone calls to Plaintiff.
- 23. During its subsequent telephone contacts with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of the name of the caller and the company he/she was calling from.
- 24. In March 2010, Defendant threatened to report the alleged debt as unpaid to a credit bureau, but as of the date of filing this complaint the alleged debt has not been reported to a credit bureau.
- 25. Additionally, in its attempts to collect the alleged debt, Defendant spoke to Plaintiff's wife and asked her to pay the alleged debt.
- 26. Defendant's methods and actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, unfair, and a significant invasion of privacy.

24

25

CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 27. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u> 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); <u>Clomon v. Jackson</u>, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 28. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 29. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

2

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 30. In its actions and methods to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in the following ways:
 - a. Communicating with Plaintiff, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, at the consumer's place of employment, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(3);
 - Engaging in conduct of which the natural consequence is to harass,
 oppress, or abuse, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d;
 - c. Causing a telephone to ring or engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5);
 - d. Placing telephone calls without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6);
 - e. Using unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f; and
 - f. Failing to, within five days after the initial contact with Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, send the consumer a written notice containing a statement that is the consumer disputes the debt in writing within the 30 day period the debt collector is required to verify the debt, or a statement that, upon written request within the 30 day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4), 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5).

	H	
1		
2		in
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		th
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

31. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff in the sum of Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ANGEL L. RIVERA, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ANGEL L. RIVERA, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 1-20-11

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C..

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: 877-788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com